

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/587,542	06/01/2000	Michael G. Luby	19186-001610US	6523
20350	7590 12/21/2004	•	EXAMINER	
TOWNSEND AND TOWNSEND AND CREW, LLP TWO EMBARCADERO CENTER			BOUTAH, ALINA A	
EIGHTH FL			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
SAN FRANCISCO, CA 94111-3834			2143	
			DATE MAILED: 12/21/2004	4

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Application No.	Applicant(s)			
		09/587,542	LUDY, MICHAEL G.			
Office Action Summary		Examiner	Art Unit			
	·	Alina N Boutah	2143			
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply						
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). - Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).						
Status	Pennancius to communication(s) filed on 4 Oc	tahar 2004				
1)⊠ 2a)⊟	Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>4 Oc</u> This action is FINAL . 2b) Thi					
· <u> </u>	· —	s action is non-final.				
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. Disposition of Claims						
4) Claim(s) 1-12 is/are pending in the application.						
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.						
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-12</u> is/are rejected.						
	7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.					
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.						
Application Papers						
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.						
10)☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ accepted or b)☐ objected to by the Examiner.						
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).						
11)☐ The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a)☐ approved b)☐ disapproved by the Examiner.						
If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action.						
12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.						
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120						
13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).						
a) All b) Some * c) None of:						
1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.						
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No						
 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 						
14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application).						
a) The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received. 15) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121.						
Attachment(s)						
2) Notice	e of References Cited (PTO-892) e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) nation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s)	5) Notice of Informal P	(PTO-413) Paper No(s) atent Application (PTO-152)			

DETAILED ACTION

Response to Amendment

This action is in response to Applicant's amendment filed September 29, 2004. Claims 10-12 have been newly added. Claims 1-12 are pending in the present application.

Continued Examination Under 37 CFR 1.114

A request for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, including the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e), was filed in this application after final rejection. Since this application is eligible for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, and the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e) has been timely paid, the finality of the previous Office action has been withdrawn pursuant to 37 CFR 1.114. Applicant's submission filed on October 14, 2004 has been entered.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 1-12 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over "TCP-like Congestion Control for Layered Multicast Data Transfer" by Vicisano, Crowcroft, and Rizzo (hereinafter referred to as Vicisano) in view of USPN 6,505,253 issued to Chiu et al. (hereinafter referred to as Chiu).

Regarding claim 1, Vicisano teaches in a network supporting packet multicasting from a sender into the network, where hosts join and leave a multicast group by sending join and leave messages, respectively, to an access device in the network, an improvement comprising:

a plurality of layers, wherein a layer is a logical channel that carries packets for the multicast group (figure 1);

logic for distributing multicast traffic from the sender over the plurality of layers according to a sending rate associated with each of the plurality of layers (page 996, Introduction; page 997, Layered organization of data); and

logic for accepting join and leave messages at the access device from the hosts, wherein the join and leave messages are associated with one or more layers of the plurality of layers (page 996, Introduction; page 997, Multicast group membership, page 998, Congestion Control for multicast layered data).

However, Vicisano does not explicitly teach logic for reducing the sending rate of at least on of the plurality of layers over time. Chiu teaches reducing a sending rate of a layer over time (col. 9, lines 47-60; col. 12, lines 51-56; col. 22, lines 28-30; col. 24, lines 61-64). At the time the invention was made, one of ordinary skill in the art would have been motivated to reduce the sending rate of sending rate of one of the plurality of layers overtime in order to allow the host to adjust itself to an optimum rate for its network (col. 9, lines 50-53).

Regarding claim 2, Vicisano teaches the network of claim 1 further comprising logic for raising the sending rate of an unused layer (page 996, Introduction; page 997, Multicast group

membership, page 998, Congestion Control for multicast layered data; page 998, Congestion Control for multicast layered data).

Regarding claim 3, Vicisano teaches in a network supporting packet multicasting form a sender into the network, where hosts join and leave a multicast group by sending join and leave messages, respectively, to an access device in the network, a method comprising the steps of:

accepting multicast join messages at the access device, wherein a join message indicates that a host beyond an interface to the access device requests membership in a layer, where a layer is a logical channel over which packets are multicast to hosts that are members to a multicast group for the layer (page 996, Introduction; page 997, Layered organization of data);

transmitting multicast packets to a plurality of layers, wherein multicast packets are transmitted by the sender on a given layer at a rate approximately equal to a sending rate associated with the layer (page 996, Introduction; page 997, Layered organization of data);

accepting multicast leave messages at an access device from hosts, wherein a leave message indicates that a host requests removal from a layer indicated in the leave message (page 996, Introduction; page 997, Multicast group membership, page 998, Congestion Control for multicast layered data); and

However, Vicisano does not explicitly teach reducing the sending rates for each of the layers over time. Chiu teaches reducing a sending rate of a layer over time (col. 9, lines 47-60; col. 12, lines 51-56; col. 22, lines 28-30; col. 24, lines 61-64). At the time the invention was made, one of ordinary skill in the art would have been motivated to reduce the sending rate of

sending rate of one of the plurality of layers overtime in order to allow the host to adjust itself to an optimum rate for its network (col. 9, lines 50-53).

Regarding claim 4, Vicisano teaches the method of claim 3, further comprising a step of offsetting a reduced reception rate at a host due to a reduced sending rate for each of the layers by the host joining one or more additional layers, if a reception rate at the host is to be maintained (pages 996-996, Relation between throughput and loss rate, page 998, Congestion Control for multicast layered data).

Regarding claim 5, Vicisano teaches the method of claim 3, wherein the step of reducing the sending rates includes reducing the sending rate for a selected one of the layers to zero (figure 3).

Regarding claim 6, Vicisano the method of claim 5, further comprising a step of increasing the sending rate for the selected one of the layers after an idle period has elapsed (figure 3).

Regarding claim 7, Vicisano teaches the method of claim 6, wherein the idle period is longer than a leave latency associated with the access device responding to a leave message (page 996, Introduction; page 997, Layered organization of data).

Regarding claim 8, Vicisano teaches in a network supporting packet multicasting form a sender into the network, where hosts join and leave a multicast group by sending join and leave messages, respectively, to an access device in the network, a method comprising the steps of:

transmitting multicast packets to a plurality of dynamic layers at a rate approximately equal to an aggregate sending rate (page 996, Introduction; page 997, Layered organization of data); and

concurrently with the step of reducing, increasing a sending rate of at least one other of the plurality of dynamic layers, thereby maintaining the aggregate sending rate for the plurality of dynamic layers (pages 996-998, Relation between throughput and loss rate, page 998, Congestion Control for multicast layered data).

However, Vicisano does not explicitly teach reducing the sending rates for each of the layers over time. Chiu teaches reducing a sending rate of a layer over time (col. 9, lines 47-60; col. 12, lines 51-56; col. 22, lines 28-30; col. 24, lines 61-64). At the time the invention was made, one of ordinary skill in the art would have been motivated to reduce the sending rate of sending rate of one of the plurality of layers overtime in order to allow the host to adjust itself to an optimum rate for its network (col. 9, lines 50-53).

Regarding claim 9, Vicisano teaches the method of claim 8, wherein a host connected to the network is able to maintain a reception rate over time by joining the at least one other dynamic layer (page 997, Multicast group membership, second paragraph).

(New) Regarding claim 10, Vicisano teaches the improvement of claim 1, wherein the logic for accepting join and leave messages receives join messages from hosts attempting to maintain a reception rate at the host whereby the host joins one or more additional layers to maintain the reception rate and offset reduced reception rates at a host due to a reduced sending rates for each of the layers (Introduction; page 997, Multicast group membership, second paragraph).

(New) Regarding claim 11, Vicisano teaches the improvement of claim 1, wherein the logic for reducing the sending rate operates to reduce the sending rate of at least one of the plurality of layers independent of congestion (Introduction).

(New) Regarding claim 12, the improvement of claim 1, wherein the logic for accepting join and leave messages receives messages from hosts attempting to maintain a reception rate at the host whereby the host joins one or more additional layers to maintain the reception rate and wherein the logic for reducing the sending rate operates to reduce the sending rate of at least one of the plurality of layers independent of congestion (Introduction).

Response to Arguments

Applicant's arguments with respect to independent claims 1, 3 and 8 have been considered but are most in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

Application/Control Number: 09/587,542

Art Unit: 2143

Conclusion

Page 8

The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.

- 1. USPN 6,208,647 issued to Deng et al.
- 2. USPN 5,822,523 issued to Rothschild et al.
- 3. USPN 5,905,871 issued to Buskens et al.
- 4. USPN 6,049,878 issued to Caronni et al.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Alina N Boutah whose telephone number is 571-272-3908. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Thursday (9:00 am - 7:00 pm).

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, David A Wiley can be reached on 571-272-3923. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

ANB

DAVID WILEY
SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER
TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2100